The Department may, at its discretion, publish part or all of the information provided in your submission on the Department's website or in related documents, if information from your submission is published, the Department may identify you and/or sation as the author of the submission. All personal contact details will be removed prior to publishing. Yes, I consent to my identified submission being published What is your name? Julie Ellis Please select the type of individual(s) or organisation(s) you represent. Please select all that apply. - Selected Choice Pharmaceutical / Medical technology company 8.1 What is the name of your organisation? - My organisation is called: - Text A.Menarini Australia Pty Ltd Are you making feedback on behalf or your organisation? Your organisation Please select which chapter/s you would like to provide feedback on. You may provide feedback on as many or few chapters as you wish.

1. Transparency, communication, and stakeholder involvement in HTA,2. Health technology funding and assessment pathways,3. Methods for HTA for Australian government subsidy (technical methods),4. Health technology funding and purchasing approaches and managing uncertainty.5. Future proofing Australia's systems and processes 14 Please select the topics within the chapter(s) you would like to provide feedback on. 1. Transparency, communication and stakeholder involvement in HTA 1.1. Transparency and communication of HTA pathways, processes and decisions,1.2. Consumer, clinician and other stakeholder engagement and consideration in HTA,1.3. First Nations people involvement and consideration in HTA,1.4. State and territory government collaboration in HTA 15 Please select the topics within the chapter(s) you would like to provide feedback on. 2. Health technology funding and assessment pathways 2.1. Streamlining and aligning HTA pathways and advisory committees, 2.2. Proportionate appraisal pathways Please select the topics within the chapter(s) you would like to provide feedback on. 3. Methods for HTA for Australian government subsidy (technical methods) 3.1. Determination of the Population, Intervention, Comparator, Outcome, 3.2. Clinical Evaluation Methods, 3.3. Economic evaluation Please select the topics within the chapter(s) you would like to provide feedback on. 4. Health Technology funding and purchasing mechanisms and decisions
4.1. Approaches to funding or purchasing new health technologies, 4.2. Approaches to incentivise development of products that address antimicrobial resistance (AMR), 4.3. Understanding the performance of health technologies in practice 18 Please select the topics within the chapter(s) you would like to provide feedback on. 5. Futureproofing our systems and processes 5.1. Proactively addressing areas of unmet clinical need and gaps in the PBS.5.2. Establishment of horizon scanning programs to address specific informational needs within HTA and the health system.5.3. Consideration of environmental impacts in the HTA,5.4. Mechanisms for continuous review and improvement,5.5. Capacity and capability of the HTA system,5.6. Strengthen international partnerships and work-sharing Taking all Options within this section: 1.1. Transparency, communication and stakeholder involvement in HTA into account. Overall, to what extent could the options (if implemented) address the issues that relate to them? Address some but not most of the issue(s) 23.1 If implemented, overall would these Options have a positive or negative impact on you (/your organisation)? - Publish plain language summ Positive 23.2 If implemented, overall would these Options have a positive or negative impact on you (/your organisation)? - Improvements to the HTA webpage including development of a dashboard Positive Taking all Options within this section: 1.2. Consumer, clinician and other stakeholder engagement and consideration in HTA into account. Overall, to what extent could the options (if implemented) address the issues that relate to the Mostly address the issue(s) 29.1 If implemented, overall would these Options have a positive or negative impact on you (/your organisation)? - Develop an engagement framework Positive If implemented, overall would these Options have a positive or negative impact on you (/your organisation)? - Strengthen consumer evidence 33 Taking all Options within this section: 1.3. First Nations people involvement and consideration in HTA into account. Overall, to what extent could the options (if implemented) address the issues that relate to them? Mostly address the issue(s) 35.1 If implemented, overall would these Options have a positive or negative impact on you (/your organisation)? - First Nations peoples partnership in decision making Positive 35.2 If implemented, overall would these Options have a positive or negative impact on you (/your organisation)? - Dedicated resource for HTA submissions and education Positive 39 Taking all Options within this section: 1.4. State and territory government collaboration in HTA into account. Overall, to what extent could the options (if implemented) address the issues that relate to them? Address some but not most of the issue(s) 41.1 If implemented, overall would these Options have a positive or negative impact on you (/your organisation)? - Development of central standardised data sharing system for utilisation and outcome data 41.2 If implemented, overall would these Options have a positive or negative impact on you (/your organisation)? - Increase opportunities for consultation and work sharing Positive 41.3 If implemented, overall would these Options have a positive or negative impact on you (/your organisation)? - Health technologies that are jointly funded by the Commonwealth and state and territory governments (such as high cost, Highly Specialised Therapies (HSTs) delivered to public hospital inpatie Positive 45 If you would like to expand on your answer above you can do so below -Health technologies that are jointly funded by the Commonwealth and state and territory governments (such as high cost, Highly Specialised Therapies (HSTs) delivered to public hospital inpatients) Menarini calls for these technologies to be fully Federally-funded, with all HTA also done at the Federal level. Current arrangements have resulted in delays to access for new, potentially life-saving technologies. To streamline access, these technologies should be funded from one fund overseen by the Commonwealth, while retaining consultation with the States and Territories. Taking all Options within this section: 2.1. Streamlining and aligning HTA pathways and advisory committees into account. Overall, to what extent could the options (if implemented) address the issues that relate to them? Address some but not most of the issue(s) If implemented, overall would these Options have a positive or negative impact on you (/your organisation)? - Pathway for drugs for ultra-rare diseases (Life Saving Drugs Program (LSDP)) 48.2 If implemented, overall would these Options have a positive or negative impact on you (/your organisation)? - Vaccine pathway Positive 48.3 If implemented, overall would these Options have a positive or negative impact on you (/your organisation)? - Expanding role of PBAC Positive

If implemented, overall would these Options have a positive or negative impact on you (/your organisation)? - Unified HTA pathway for all health technologies with Commonwealth funding

Positive 50

Pathway for drugs for ultra-rare diseases (Life Saving Drugs Program (LSDP))

If implemented, the intent of the LSDP to fund drugs for ultra-rare diseases must be retained. The PBAC advisory role to recommend listing of a medicine on the LSDP must be made to the Minister and the CMO as the Minister's delegate as in the existing framework. More detailed information on this option is included in the attached document

If you would like to expand on your answer above you can do so below -Vaccine pathway

If implemented, this pathway must retain robust advice from the ATAGI to ensure that clinical issues remain at the forefront of deliberations.

If you would like to expand on your answer above you can do so below -Expanding role of PBAC

Any expansion to the role or remit of the PBAC must ensure that the PBAC remains advisory body only. The final decision to fund new treatments must remain with the Minister for Health and Aged Care.

63

Taking all Options within this section: 2.2. Proportionate appraisal pathways into account

Overall, to what extent could the options (if implemented) address the issues that relate to them?

Address some but not most of the issue(s)

65.1

If implemented, overall would these Options have a positive or negative impact on you (/your organisation)? - Case manager

Neutral

If implemented, overall would these Options have a positive or negative impact on you (/your organisation)? - Triaging submissions

Positive 65.2

If implemented, overall would these Options have a positive or negative impact on you (/your organisation)? - Streamlined pathway for cost-minimisation submissions (therapies not claiming a significant improvement in health outcomes or reduction in toxicity)

Negative

65.3

If implemented, overall would these Options have a positive or negative impact on you (/your organisation)? - Early resolution mechanisms for submissions of major new therapeutic advances in areas of HUCN:

Alternative option 1: Introducing an optional resolution step before HTA committee consideration

Neutral

65.4 If implemented, overall would these Options have a positive or negative impact on you (/your organisation)? - Early resolution mechanisms for submissions of major new therapeutic advances in areas of HUCN:

Alternative option 2: Introducing an optional resolution step before HTA committee consideration, with additional post committee resolution

Neutral

65.5

If implemented, overall would these Options have a positive or negative impact on you (/your organisation)? - Early resolution mechanisms for submissions of major new therapeutic advances in areas of HUCN:

Alternative option 3: Early Price negotiation

Neutral

If implemented, overall would these Options have a positive or negative impact on you (/your organisation)? - Early resolution mechanisms for submissions of major new therapeutic advances in areas of HUCN:

Alternative option 4: Introducing an optional resolution step after HTA committee consideration but before advice is finalised

Positive

65.7

If implemented, overall would these Options have a positive or negative impact on you (/your organisation)? - Expanding resolution step to all relevant cost effectiveness submissions

65.8

If implemented, overall would these Options have a positive or negative impact on you (/your organisation)? - Development of a disease specific common model (reference case) for disease areas with high active product development

Negative 65.9

If implemented, overall would these Options have a positive or negative impact on you (/your organisation)? - Decouple the requirement for the TGA Delegate's overview to support PBAC advice

Positive